

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,391	12/05/2001	Rodney Earl Norwood	7780/16 (P-00303)	6990
7590 03/01/2004			EXAM	INER
Frank C. Nich	olas		GREEN, CHRISTY MARIE	
CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Evanston, IL			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/005,391	NORWOOD, ROO	NEY
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Christy M Green	3635	
Pariod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
	• •	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 3	MONTH(S) EDOM	
THE - External after - If th - If NO - Failth	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. re period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply with the Set or extended period for reply will, by stature that the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, mmunication
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 L	December 2003.		
2a)□		is action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n		
٠,١	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2001</u> is/		⊠ objected to by the Exam	iner.
/-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·	·	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- ' '		R 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		•
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 25 LLC C	8 110(a) (d) or (f)	
•	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	en received in this National	Stage
	application from the International Burea		-A	
~ ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachmer	nt/c)			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5)	of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)
rapt	er No(s)/Mail Date		·	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/005,391

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

This is a second office action for serial number 10/005391, entitled Mobile

Cellular Telephone Tower, filed on December 5, 2001.

Response to Amendment

In response to the examiner's office action dated September 22, 2003, the

applicant has amended the specification.

Drawings

The drawings stand objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show

every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a first extendable

segment must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter

should be entered. Until clarification within the drawings is made, the examiner will

interpret the claims accordingly.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the

Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings

will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification stands objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis

for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o).

Correction of the following is required: within claims 1, 13 and 20, a first extendable

segment is not disclosed and is unclear as to which segment is supposed to be the first

extendable segment, is this supposed to be the base segment, if so, than this segment

needs to be discussed within the specification that the base segment is the first

. 7

Application/Control Number: 10/005,391

Art Unit: 3635

extendable segment. Until further clarification is made in regards to this limitation, the examiner will interpret the claims as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harrell, Jr., US Patent # 5,537,125 in view of Featherstone, US Patent # 5,168,679, and further in view of Korovesis, US patent # 5,394,162.

Harrell discloses the claimed invention a tower comprising a base (12 – column 2, lines 37-40), a tower (10) connected to the base, the tower including a base segment (14) and at least a first extendable segment (16) operatively connected to a second extendable segment (18), a winch (46) or an electric winch (column 3, lines 35-37) connected to the base (column 6, lines 27-30), a first cable (44) connected between the base segment and the first extendable segment (column 6, lines 23-25), a second cable (50) connected between the winch (by 48) and the second extendable segment (column 6, lines 36-37); the winch (46) is connected to the first cable (column 6, lines 23-29); the first cable is connected to the second cable (column 6, lines 36-41); the first extendable segment (16) is nestable within the base segment (14); the second extendable segment (18) is nestable within the first extendable segment (16); the tower is movable between a stowed position (figure 3) and an operating position (figure 1 – by 28); a hydraulic

Application/Control Number: 10/005,391

Art, Unit: 3635

motor (28) for moving the tower between the stowed position (figure 3) and the operating position (figure 1); the tower in the stowed position is oriented generally horizontally (figure 3 – column 5, lines 37-38); the tower in operating position is oriented generally vertically (column 5, lines 63-68); and, at least one outrigger (32) connected to the base.

Harrell does not disclose a self-propelled base, a cellular telephone network testing equipment mounted on the tower; the outrigger is hydraulically actuated; and, a generator mounted on the base.

In regards to the self-propelled base, Featherstone teaches that it is known in the art to provide a telescoping tower (10) or antenna (18) for use with a vehicle such as a truck (11- which is interpreted to be a self-propelled base). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the self-propelled base as taught by Featherstone with the tower of Harrell in order to illuminate the step of attaching the base of the tower to be transported to another moving vehicle for transport where ever quick erecting telescoping mast are particularly suited for applications and providing a fast setup and teardown with minimal power effort (column 1, lines 21-24).

In regards to the cellular telephone network testing equipment mounted on the tower, Korovesis teaches that it is known in the art to provide a coupler for testing a cellular telephone through an antenna mount. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the same type of coupler for testing a cellular telephone through an antenna mount as taught by

Korovesis with the antenna of Harrell, since it has been disclosed in Harrell that when the tower is to be used as an antenna, a connector is provided for attaching the cable from the transmitter (column 4, lines 64-68) and to permit an easy use and high durability coupler for testing cellular telephone equipment (column 1, lines 57-58).

Page 5

In regards to the outrigger being hydraulically actuated and a generator mounted on the base. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the outrigger being hydraulically actuated and a generator mounted on the base, since the applicant has not stated that these limitations are for any particular purpose and is seems that the invention would work equally well with the parts as cited in the references above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy M Green whose telephone number is 703-308-9693. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,391

Art Unit: 3635

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 24, 2004

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600